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Introduction

Secure energy resources and their intelligent use are keys to China’s continued, rapid development. The country recently overtook the United States as the world’s largest energy consumer, according to an International Energy Agency (IEA) estimate and, as China’s citizens become wealthier, concerns about their increasing consumption capability and the consequent production and energy consumption growth will continue into the coming decades. This energy consumption growth has wide implications for the air pollution, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and energy scarcity crises facing China and the world. The prioritization of issues by the international community and China may vary as there is much more emphasis on climate change and pollution outside of China, while concerns about economic growth and energy security take precedence domestically, but China’s energy policy will increasingly find itself on the top of national and international policy agendas. China has begun to address energy consumption growth concerns through its 11th Five-Year Plan energy intensity reduction targets, including introducing the self-imposed national target of a 20 percent reduction in energy intensity against 2005 levels by 2010. However, at the sub-national levels, socioeconomic and political benefits from decreased local energy consumption and decreased emissions are not foregone conclusions and energy efficiency may receive less priority despite this national emphasis. While sustained economic growth based on continued availability of energy resources and environmental pollution problems are important issues for the country as a whole, local governments may be more willing and able to manage short-term, local problems such as enterprise cash flow difficulties, attracting foreign and domestic investment, and social stability concerns. These problems are more self-contained and manageable, with clearer and more direct local benefits, than issues that require significant collective action like energy policy implementation.

This paper thus examines the means through which local governments implement energy efficiency policy. The main hypothesis is that local governments seek to implement national administrative directives by combining the energy consumption management policy with policies of greater or more pressing importance for the local government, a tactic which we term “policy-bundling”, or by linking their energy efficiency objectives with the immediate interests of different actors to improve the implementation of one or more policies, which we term “interest-bundling”. Officials often opt to ‘kill two (or more) birds with one stone’ by choosing implementation pathways that balance local priorities with national targets and are more likely to faithfully implement energy conservation policies and projects which also address salient business, economic, safety, pollution, and political legitimacy interests and concerns in their local constituencies. Local governments are less likely to strictly implement energy conservation policies without ‘bundling’ potential and employ foot-dragging measures such as seeking loopholes in the implementation guidelines.

Shanxi province was chosen as the research region as it is well-known for its coal production and large proportion of energy-intensive enterprises. Both enterprise sizes and ownership structures vary across municipals in Shanxi, with more state ownership and larger enterprises in the north and more private ownership and smaller enterprises in the south. The scale of industrial production and energy consumption in Shanxi make energy savings and emissions reduction (ESER) policy especially pressing and relevant for the province. Nevertheless, the lack of a diversified industrial structure and decreased employment opportunities make implementation of
ESER policy difficult, especially given that Shanxi has fewer opportunities than other regions in China such as coastal regions or areas most benefited by the ‘Open Up the West’ policies.

In the 11th Five-Year Plan, Shanxi was assigned an energy intensity reduction goal of 25 percent by 2010 compared to the 2005 level, which is higher than the national target of 20 percent. Figures provided in recent Shanxi Provincial Economic Commission reports show the province as being on track to meet or surpass its 11th Five-Year Plan energy intensity targets. However, the specifics of this successful implementation have not been studied, and this research shows that local officials’ interests and incentives have been key to these policy outcomes.

The analysis is drawn from fifty-three interviews conducted in the provincial capital, five municipalities, and eleven counties during June and July 2010. The majority of interviewees were officials from Economic Commissions, Environmental Protection Bureaus, Development and Reform Commissions, and Construction Bureaus, as well as industrial enterprise managers involved in energy intensity reduction and efficiency programs. Interviews were semi-structured and provided an understanding of overall implementation at the provincial, municipal, and county levels through the study of local circumstances, along with insight into the similarities and variations in implementation among localities. The analysis also draws from government policy documents and reports, ESER statistics, statistical yearbook data, and internal enterprise energy consumption statistics.

The next section introduces concepts and arguments relevant to understanding the implementation of national ESER policies at the local level. The subsequent discussion provides an overview of national energy efficiency efforts since 2006 and describes the local policy context in Shanxi province. The paper then analyzes local players and interests in the implementation of two industrial energy efficiency policies: the Shanxi Top-1000 Enterprise Program and the policy of ‘elimination of backward production capacity’ and small plant closure. The research concludes by highlighting the politics of bundling as a common implementation tactic at the local level and offering a brief discussion of the implications of the findings.

### Energy Efficiency Policy Implementation: Bundling and Framing

China’s energy efficiency programs at the national level have received a great deal of attention in the public policy literature, yet an analysis of local implementation is essential for a full understanding of the national ESER initiative. Most China observers are well aware of gaps between the central government’s official national policies and its practical outcomes at the local levels. Often, a conflict exists between government levels because national policies at times fail to take into account policies’ potential negative impacts on local businesses, employment, and taxation revenues. National policies without sufficient local support and legitimacy are only implemented strictly when there is direct and constant attention from the center. Policy implementation outcomes therefore often directly or indirectly relate to the differences between priorities at the national level and local incentives.

Existing approaches focus on the ways governance practices and decision-making structures shape implementation outcomes, yet very little research has shown what strategies local leaders actually employ to bridge national priorities with local interests. For energy efficiency policy implementation, the clearly defined energy intensity reduction targets, the strict punishments through denial of promotion and formal censure for government officials and enterprise managers if they fail to meet them, and the high cost and at times redistributive nature of energy efficiency improvements make ESER policy implementation a site of political contestation. Specifically, percentage targets are rigid and ranged from 12 percent up to 30 percent against
2005 levels. In order to meet targets, officials from the Economic Commission negotiate with local enterprises to convince managers to improve energy efficiency, whether or not such investments are cost-efficient within a practical time horizon. At the same time, local implementers reorganize industrial structures by consolidating production capacity at the most efficient enterprises or by reducing the share of energy intensive production in their constituencies through, for instance, promoting industries in the service sector such as tourism.

To illustrate how leaders work politically, this paper highlights specific implementation tactics officials use to bring enterprises and the public on board in support of their own professional objectives. The first practice is interest-bundling, whereby government officials link their own political interests with the economic and political interests of the large enterprises essential to both successful implementation of the ESER policy and local economic growth. Officials align their interests with those of state-owned enterprise (SOE) and large private enterprise managers by communicating the importance of the ESER policy and indicating the willingness of the local government to provide compensatory benefits such as assurance of uninterrupted business operation and preferential access to land and funds for enterprises who comply. The specific incentivization process is often flexible, open to negotiation, and depends on an exchange of benefits outside of the formal incentive structure. It hinges on the provision, explicit or implicit, of compensatory benefits, and is dependent upon the level of enticement, appeasement, or pressure needed to make enterprises’ incentives to improve energy efficiency on par with those of the implementing officials who will be rewarded or promoted for the enterprise achievements. Officials also take competing interests such as economic growth and employment into consideration during implementation, as such factors also affect government officials’ evaluations. This interest-bundling approach turns energy efficiency policy implementation into a somewhat cooperative venture and avoids adversarial relationships with important local enterprises. This interest ‘bundling’ is somewhat comparable to ‘logrolling’ in American politics, which occurs when legislators trade votes in exchange for cooperation on other issues. The main difference between interest-bundling and logrolling is that bundling occurs most often in policy implementation rather than during policy formulation. For energy efficiency bundling, local officials and enterprises cooperate on energy efficiency in exchange for compensatory benefits for the enterprises on other issues.

The second implementation practice is policy-bundling. Some ESER policies are implemented as parts of larger campaigns, and connected with policies and concerns of more local or immediate interest like local pollution control or work supervision and safety. At other times, their implementation may be implicitly tied to formally unrelated policies with greater public emphasis and larger official support. These approaches increase public support and lessen resistance to implementation. The third tool is framing, a concept that originates from sociological research. Linguistic reframing of policies allows officials to shape public perceptions. In this study, research suggests that local leaders frame by conceptualizing and describing energy efficiency policies in ways that play to interests in their localities. This helps to create coalitions to support implementation of initiatives which are prima facie detrimental to interest groups or the public in general. Through these methods, municipal and county officials with unambiguous incentives to meet energy intensity target reductions can balance national and provincial demands with local interests. At the same time, they bundle ESER policy in ways that make it relevant to concerns of greater local importance. Ultimately, local officials frame policies and programs of national and international concern in ways that give them legitimacy at the local level.
In the following two sections, this paper introduces the national and provincial level energy efficiency efforts, highlighting the formal policy structures. This description also points out the shortfalls of formal incentives that necessitate policy implementation maneuvers outside of these structures. The paper then continues with a detailed analysis of the corresponding local implementation maneuvers and outcomes.

**National Context: Energy Efficiency Efforts**

Between 2002 and 2005, China’s energy intensity increased at an average of 3.8 percent reversing a long-term trend of continuous energy efficiency improvements. In response to this development, the central government in 2004 began to introduce a series of policies, administrative plans, and laws to fill this gap and strengthen energy conservation work. Most importantly, in 2006, the Chinese central government introduced an ESER program, which relies on administrative measures and tools deployed in China’s fragmented vertical and horizontal (条条块块) governance structure, the most important of which are mandatory, ‘hard’ (硬性) energy intensity reduction targets for government departments and enterprises. Other administrative measures and tools introduced between 2006 and 2008 are less stringent, but also important. In concert with the introduction of the hard targets, the central government also published a list of ten key energy-savings projects, ten key ‘people’s projects’, energy efficiency guidelines and industry efficiency standards, along with other government documents to guide government and enterprise implementation of energy efficiency policy.

As noted in the literature, hard targets in the cadre responsibility system are generally reserved for economic growth goals and measurements of social stability. Energy policies of the 11th Five-Year Plan and the new developments in cadre management thus reflect the great emphasis placed on energy saving by the highest levels of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and State Council, and can be considered a national reassessment of the ‘growth at any cost’ model previously pursued throughout the country. Yet, the ultimate success or failure of national initiatives continues to depend on local implementation.

Beijing made it clear that faithful implementation of energy efficiency goals are a national priority, but the ESER program, begun in 2006 and in earnest at the provincial level in 2007, had a difficult start. Early government reports indicated that most provinces fell far short of initial yearly energy intensity reduction targets, even in provinces with five-year targets of 12 to 18 percent, much less those with targets of up to 25 percent. By the end of 2008, the country seemed on track to meet its energy intensity reduction target of 20 percent, but, in winter 2009, this trend reversed and energy intensity in China increased by 3.2 percent, bringing into question the nation’s ability to meet its goals. In May 2010, Chinese Premier Wen Jiabao called for local officials to use an ‘iron hand’ (铁的手腕) when implementing the ESER policy, pushing the nation to ensure completion of its ESER targets stated in the 11th Five-Year Plan.

**Local Context: Energy Efficiency Policy in Shanxi Province**

Shanxi province has a high proportion of energy-intensive industry. In 2008, overall industrial production accounted for 54 percent of GDP and heavy industry accounted for 81 percent of provincial final energy consumption. This carries with it implementation and transitional challenges, yet Shanxi has nonetheless arguably successfully implemented ESER policy. The heads of the Shanxi Economic Commission and Shanxi Development and Reform Commission have formulated policies stricter than national requirements, and the province is on track to meet
its ESER goals. The success in meeting its energy intensity reduction target is partly due to its preexisting industrial structure. For example, heavy industries have more potential for energy-savings and large industrial plants, prevalent in northern Shanxi and lacking in some other provinces, have scale advantages as some upgrades are impossible for smaller plants. However, progress can be also attributed to the unprecedented provincial emphasis in the past five years.

Provincial practices overall heavily reflect policies at the national level. The Shanxi People’s Government passed on the 11th Five-Year Plan’s provincial 2010 goal of a 25 percent reduction in energy intensity against 2005 levels, to lower level governments and departments. The 2007 decision by the State Council to turn energy intensity reduction into ‘hard targets’ was outlined in provincial policy in 2008. The provincial government also distributed its nationally assigned goal of completing 4.6 million square meters of energy-saving building renovations to lower level governments and departments, along with energy-efficient light bulb dissemination, district heating and other targets.16

Yet, provincial policy is not limited to national requirements. Shanxi leaders, including the governor and heads of the Shanxi Economic Commission and the Shanxi Development and Reform Commission, will be held responsible for progress in energy efficiency by the end of the 11th Five-Year Plan and therefore have strong incentives to ensure completion of national efficiency requirements when formulating Shanxi’s provincial policy. Provincial leaders went beyond national requirements and made provincial ESER policy stricter than national policy to ensure their completion as leaders anticipated some local ESER efforts to fail or to be discounted by national inspection teams. Provincial targets assigned by the national government were raised for municipalities, counties, and enterprises to ensure completion of the overall provincial 11th Five-Year Plan target. In one municipality, for example, targets at the county level generally ranged from 27 percent to 30 percent in energy intensity reduction.17 The inflation of targets at the lower levels is a common practice in Chinese policy campaigns. In supplement to national policies, Shanxi province introduced a Top-200 Enterprise Program modeled after the national Top-1000 Enterprise Program,18 which requires the most energy-consuming enterprises to sign energy intensity reduction contracts with the provincial government. This provincial program was later expanded to a provincial 1000 Enterprise Program after improved energy use calculations. These national and provincial top-enterprise programs were intended to improve energy efficiency at the nation’s and province’s largest energy consuming enterprises. The Economic Commission also approved provincial energy efficiency standards more stringent than national standards in some industries such as steel and magnesium.19

These ambitious targets and policies created a provincial energy efficiency policy structure strongly in line with national interest, yet created implementation difficulties, especially regarding funding. Given the expansion of energy efficiency programs, Shanxi province uses its own provincial funds to finance local energy efficiency projects. In particular, in 2007 the Shanxi People’s Government created a ‘Coal Sustainable Development Fund’ through taxation of all provincial coal exports and since then has used part of this money to provide funding for energy-saving initiatives, in addition to projects to counteract the detrimental impacts of coal extraction in the province.20 The establishment of this fund took advantage of provincial interest and circumstance and bundled them with both coal mining concerns and the ESER implementation incentives.

This Coal Sustainable Development Fund raises the price of exported Shanxi coal, yet serves a number of purposes. First, it aims to soften the social and economic consequences of coal mining in Shanxi. Second, the tax and its use ensures that other provinces benefiting from the use
of Shanxi coal foot the bill for costs not reflected in the price of coal. Third, it aims to raise the costs of exports and keep Shanxi resources within the province to ensure greater GDP creation from industrial processing, instead of low-wealth creation from exporting natural resources. Fourth, and most importantly, it fills government coffers and greatly enhances provincial policy implementation capacity in a number of key areas, including city greening initiatives and ESER policy implementation. Between March 2007 and the end of 2009, the fund reportedly collected over 43 billion yuan.\(^{21}\)

In addition, an alignment of interests was not limited to financial capacity building, but also occurred during the formulation of the relatively stringent industry efficiency standards. As mentioned above, Shanxi province enacted provincial energy efficiency standards in industries such as steel and magnesium stricter than those set out at the national level. These provincial efficiency standards are created by the enterprises themselves giving them room to account for their own interests. Although it seems counter-intuitive for enterprises to willingly draft tough standards, especially when they are sufficiently stringent to lead to cost increases, in fact, many large enterprises have incentives to create high efficiency standards to squeeze small producers out of the industry and increase their market share. One manager of a large magnesium enterprise, for example, cited this as a major reason for supporting strict standards.\(^{22}\) Indeed, this enterprise pushed for standards approved in Shanxi to also be approved at the national level, but met with resistance from enterprise owners in other provinces and national government officials concerned that they would limit growth in the industry.

However, despite these funding and standard-setting factors some provincial policies continued to run against local economic business interests. Many energy efficiency methods promoted by the national and provincial governments provided few enterprise cost-savings, except in the very long-term. This is especially the case as compensation and subsidies continue to be insufficient. For example, subsidies and rewards distributed by the provincial Development and Reform Commission and sometimes by the municipal and county governments are considered ‘symbolic’ and not always given out in a consistent manner. When subsidies are provided, they are given after the project completion, creating a high level of uncertainty for enterprises considering energy-saving projects. According to one municipal official, between 2007 and 2009, there were around 250 industrial projects to upgrade production efficiency in the municipality. Of these, only 44 of the largest projects received subsidies that on average covered between 20 and 30 percent of the initial investment costs.\(^{23}\) Indeed, throughout Shanxi, only economically and politically significant enterprises with very large production capacity and relatively advanced production capability consistently receive compensation, subsidies and rewards. As an indication of their scale, these large plants usually employ several thousand workers.

Due to insufficient financial resources and policy aspects that ran in opposition to local interests, the necessity for local officials to bundle provincial and national interests with local interests remained. Among different ESER programs and measures the most important and locally emphasized were the provincial Top-1000 Enterprise Program, the ‘elimination of backward production capacity’ and small plant closure policy, industry energy efficiency standards, and social 'ten key projects' program such as efficient light bulb programs, district heating and waste water management and energy-saving renovations in residential housing. This paper will examine two of them – the Shanxi Top-1000 Enterprise Program and the ‘elimination of backward production capacity’ and small plant closure policy – and analyze the extent to which bundling of interests and policies, along with framing strategies for the interests and
concerns existent in localities, were used to address the challenges to implementing ESER policy.

Local Implementation: Interest-Bundling, Policy-Bundling, and Framing

Shanxi Top-1000 Enterprise Program

The Top-1000 Enterprise Program in Shanxi is perhaps the most important component of the ESER policy at the local level. Enterprises on the list account for 90 percent of energy use in the province according to calculations by the Shanxi Provincial Economic Commission, and energy efficiency improvements at these enterprises are the primary factor determining officials' progress towards energy intensity reduction hard targets. To implement the 1000 enterprise program, local People’s Government officials sign responsibility contracts with the higher level government committing themselves to energy intensity reductions in their jurisdictions, and then sign responsibility contracts with the enterprise managers on the 1000 enterprise list, committing them to specific energy consumption targets.

Because the targets in the cadre responsibility system are tied to these agreements, local leaders and officials have strong incentives to implement the Top-1000 Enterprise policy. At the same time, however, they have both limited willingness and capacity to enforce targets and standards. Local county and municipal leaders such as the mayors and party secretaries have greater influence and implementation capacity than Economic Commission officials, but are not always willing to give priority to the enforcement of ESER policy. Mayors and party secretaries have many, sometimes conflicting, priorities and targets, and energy intensity targets are just one of them. As a result, Economic Commission officials often carry out ESER policies without the mayor’s or party secretary’s unconditional support for strict enforcement measures and must consider economic and political interests of enterprises to ensure their cooperation.

In terms of supervision and enforcement capacity at the county level, usually only one or two people in the Economic Commission are in charge of energy efficiency at enterprises. The same officials are responsible for many different industrial production and technology standards. With limited industry knowledge, it is difficult for them to thoroughly evaluate the required energy reports delivered by the enterprises. Even with greater human resources and skills, local Economic Commission officials cannot effectively implement ESER policy without the support and cooperation of large energy-intensive, such as iron and steel, non-ferrous metals, and coking, enterprises. The local governments can punish enterprises which exceed industry efficiency standards by raising the enterprise’s electricity price and ordering utility companies to cut off electricity and water, a policy commonly referred to as ‘cut water cut electricity’ (duanshui duandian 断水断电), but the legal basis for these punishments is tenuous, and enterprises can resist or appeal their enforcement.

Given their limited willingness and ability to enforce the policy, local leaders and officials often choose to bundle their own political interests with the economic and political interests of enterprise managers. Government officials ensure and explain to managers the formal rules and incentives within the national and provincial ESER policy such as provincial, and in some cases municipal, subsidies and often also provide informal incentives and benefits outside the formal implementation structure. Although the existence of subsidies and formal incentives such as promotions are clear, subsidy and compensation applications are complex and mistakes will result in denial of funding. Also, subsidies are limited and enterprise managers may be doubtful that their applications will succeed. Assurances and assistance from local officials can thus be essential to an enterprise manager’s confidence that ESER measures will receive compensation.
from the government. On the informal side, when local officials and managers have close relationships, personal or professional, they persuade enterprises of benefits through unofficial means, such as personal appeals, preferential treatment, and compensatory benefits for enterprises that make voluntary efforts to reduce energy consumption. Managers of large and profitable enterprises with strong party connections and the capacity to upgrade production improved energy efficiency without the assurance of monetary compensation. For example, one enterprise improved energy efficiency and only later received compensation for each ton of saved energy after the adoption of a new national policy. Highlighting potential political, economic, or social benefits to be gained from implementing ESER measures is one of the informal means employed by government officials. Thus, government officials bundle their own political interests such as evaluations and promotion opportunities with the economic and political interests of enterprise managers, which vary according to enterprise forms of ownership.

Informal assurances and actual political and economic incentives are sometimes not enough to convince enterprise managers to improve energy efficiency. Some cases, especially in the final
year of the 11th Five-Year Plan, require the ‘iron hand’ advocated by Premier Wen Jiabao. Officials thus sometimes seek compromises to ensure enterprise managers will be as receptive as possible to enforcement. One way that local governments can bundle their ESER ‘hard target’ interests while also preventing long-term, undue harm to local interests is to use a measure called 'sleeping management' (xiuman guanli 休眠管理). For example, in one county, by early 2010 local leaders realized that they were not able to meet their ESER targets set in the 11th Five-Year Plan because of a single new coal power plant, which had greatly increased the overall energy intensity in the county. The income from this new plant is important to the local economy and government revenue, yet the detrimental impact on the energy intensity in the locality is undeniable. As a compromise, the local government therefore will require this enterprise and other energy-intensive enterprises which have greatly exceeded energy consumption standards to close in rotation for several months at the end of 2010. In this way, the local leaders hope to satisfy their ESER targets without actually having to close any of the concerned enterprises completely and suffer the social and economic consequences that would result.

When bundling is not effective, officials’ resort to more coercive means. Although local governments rarely resort to harsh punishments, they still use them as very real threats for enterprises if the jurisdiction is close to falling short of the officials' ESER targets and the mayor and other high level officials find themselves with their 'backs to the wall'. Given tight personal networks between government officials and larger enterprise managers, local enterprises are aware of the importance of energy reduction to mayors and high level officials, even if they have little reason, legally or with respect to political influence, to listen to the appeals of Economic Commission representatives. Signaling by the government, often through informal channels, such as former government officials in management positions at large private enterprises, makes it clear to private enterprises that if they do not comply with ESER policies they will certainly be punished. For large enterprises which reduce energy consumption, the signaling from the government officials also ensures them that when they increase costs in the short-term they will not be undercut by other enterprises who avoid energy-saving renovations. In this way, they can anticipate that if noncompliant enterprises cut corners to take away market share, they will be punished or even closed. However, noncompliant enterprises are often closed relatively late and the preemptive bundling of energy efficiency policy with formally unrelated incentives provide the benefits for enterprises to implement upgrades early despite this.

Policy of 'elimination of backward production capacity' and small plant closure
The second major and perhaps most reported ESER effort in Shanxi is the push to close inefficient and energy-intensive production lines and small industrial enterprises. To implement it, the Economic Commission in a county or municipality identifies enterprises that violate national and provincial guidelines on industrial equipment and production scale standards. After receiving the approval of the mayor, local Economic Commissions publish lists of enterprises or production lines that do not meet energy efficiency requirements and list time frames for ‘voluntary’ closure. Some closed enterprises or enterprises that shut down outdated production equipment receive compensation of around 20 to 30 percent of the closed enterprise or production line value, but the majority do not. Although the definition varies across sectors, a small enterprise in China generally has fewer than 2000 employees and less than 300 million yuan in sales. However, the small enterprises discussed in this section have at most several hundred employees and the closed plants, of which many are satellites of larger enterprises, often employ from 30 to 100 workers. Those enterprises and plants which were closed were often too
small in terms of production scale or without financial capability to upgrade. When implementing the plant and production line closure policy, local governments are more likely to resort to differentiated electricity pricing and cutting off electricity and water, especially for small enterprises. In some cases, local mayors can ask the Security Bureau to send police officers to assist with forced closures, along with removal and destruction of factory equipment. One case in which strict forms of enforcement were used prior to the end of the 11th Five-Year Plan was in response to a ‘regional investment restriction’ (quyu xianpi 区域限批) punishment imposed by the national government that restricted the approvals of all new energy-intensive projects for three months. To placate the national government, local leaders ordered one electricity company to cut off electricity to over 70 enterprises in order to have the investment restrictions lifted.

For most cases at the local level, there are fewer incentives to undertake this kind of strict enforcement, and local officials must consider local economic growth, employment, and social concerns. Major economic drawbacks of the small enterprise closures are that they decrease local GDP, tax revenue, and employment numbers. Local officials partially remedy these effects by adding capacity at large, relatively efficient enterprises. Within large enterprises, approval for new production lines is normally contingent on the closure of outdated production capacity, a national policy. In some localities, enterprises were granted extensions while the new production facilities and equipments were under construction.

In areas with few sources of local income and no large enterprises, incentivization was more difficult and local leaders were willing to make allowances for the economic and social stability of the locality. Many local officials anticipated strong opposition to plant closure. In one reportedly isolated incident, for example, a local factory owner organized an employee protest in response to the coming closure of his enterprise. This situation resulted in the government ‘persuading’ the owner and his employees that the closure was in the interest of the locality and they would be provided re-employment opportunities.

To avoid possible government-enterprise conflict, the local governments take preemptive measures to decrease costs of implementation for local interests. For one small town with a single large industrial plant, the county and municipal officials allowed a small, inefficient plant to stay open because of its importance in the local economy. This was done only after making sure the plant owners realized that they were being done a favor and must return the favor by making serious efforts to increase energy efficiency if they were to stay open. At the same time, local leaders emphasized that this was a temporary solution only, and that the enterprise must close eventually. In another case, a high profile closing, one local mayor brought together representatives from a number of the local departments to ensure that employees losing their jobs would be cared for by the government, and then arranged that they were provided re-employment and other forms of assistance. Some local officials also aligned their interests with those of small enterprise owners and gave enterprises the option of being able to sell or keep their equipment if they voluntarily close ahead of the final date for closure, as opposed to being forced to remove and destroy equipment as set out in national policy. In this way, enterprise managers were given an incentive to implement the policy themselves, making highly visible government intervention unnecessary.

Local officials avoided conflict in the policy implementation of ‘elimination of backward production capacity’ and small plant closure when they bundled implementation with another policy. Plant closure was implemented together with the environmental clean-up prior to the 2008 Olympics, with campaigns to improve work supervision and tax collection, and with pollution reduction policy. First, ESER plant closures were at times carried out in conjunction
with the 2008 Olympics clean up policy, although the link between the two policies did not become apparent to small enterprise owners until after the event. Local officials asked enterprises to close in the name of the Olympics, but were aware that national and provincial policy would allow them to prevent these enterprises from re-opening. Many small plants which had thought they would only stop production during the lead up to the Olympics and would be allowed to pick back up where they left off were kept from doing so by the new policies restricting energy-intensive production. Others were unable to reopen due to the economic climate following the Financial Crisis.

Beyond the Olympics policy-bundling, small enterprise closures were also carried out as part of a greater campaign against 'low quality' small enterprise managers. The primary goals of this campaign were to improve work supervision and tax collection, yet it was loosely tied to mine closures, a policy with greater backing from high-level governments. In these cases, lack of clarity works to the local officials' advantage, even though the plant closures are primarily ESER policy. Local officials improved regulatory capacity in local safety and tax collection, key areas for local governments, while avoiding confrontation which could count against them if any social stability concerns arose.

In addition to these methods, the prioritization of small plant closures took into account the relative pollution emitted by different industries, as all local officials were evaluated on SO2 emission reduction goals in addition to energy intensity reductions, and some localities faced pressure from provincial, and in some cases, national authorities to improve air quality. Specifically, local officials prioritized closing cement, along with iron and steel, small plants as they benefited local officials’ emissions reduction goals significantly more than other industries. Two county official interviewees stated that as long there were enough cement, iron and steel, enterprises in the county that officials could close, the locality would easily meet its SO2 emissions reduction goals.

Bundling of interests and policies in the closures of small coal mines was stronger than in other industries. Small coal mines have much higher rates of fatalities than large, state-owned coal enterprises; there was increasing national media coverage of coal mine accidents in recent years; and, perhaps most importantly, beginning in 2006, the central and Shanxi provincial government renewed campaigns to force buyouts of small coal mines by large, often state-owned, enterprises as part of promoting enterprises ‘getting bigger and stronger’ (zuo da zuo qiang 做大做強). Of more relevance to ESER policy, small coal mines have lower extraction rates than large mines, and improving the overall extraction rates in a locality counts as ‘saving energy’ in cadre evaluations. The combinations of these factors, and in spite of the often close relations between local officials and small mine owners, brought about a shift in local officials’ willingness to close small mining operations. Local officials and coal bosses saw the policy’s implementation as inevitable as the national and international media coverage of mining disasters in concert with great attention from the CCP’s leadership and the State Council highlighted the social and political prioritization of the policy. It was seen as only a matter of time, rather than a question of whether it would be enforced.

State-owned mining companies greatly benefit from the coal mine closure policy. National policy requires the consolidation of coal mines to the largest producers and renationalization of coal resources in some regions is a top national priority. Coal SOEs are thus able to buy out a very profitable industry, one much more profitable than industrial production, at little cost and are able to regain control of energy resources, giving them and the government leverage over energy use activities from their source. In return, they provide large bases of coal production
upon which to start the mine production capacity consolidation, resulting in precedents for other regions to follow.

This policy met with harsh resistance from mine bosses (*meilaoban* 煤老板) and other mining interests, as the government offered very low compensation for buyouts and mergers and the private owners were left with only a fraction of the value of their enterprises. Yet, it was still strictly enforced. After initially resisting the mergers and buyouts, private coal mines began to formulate strategies to play to the interests of local governments and, in doing so, ‘buy time’ before being forced out of the industry. These strategies focused on improving the enterprises image of social responsibility and general benefit to society. Coal enterprises built parks and funded rural education and employment programs to position themselves with the government as ‘good’ enterprises, and thus deserving to be among the last to undergo merger or buyout. This rent-seeking behavior saw some success and some enterprises could delay scheduled plant closures. In the end, however, these enterprises know that they cannot exert enough political influence to counteract the confluence of interests aligned against them.

The use of policy framing

In both the Shanxi Top-1000 Enterprise Program and the policy of ‘elimination of backward production capacity' and small plant closure, officials often frame their ideas in order to play to local interests. The Top-1000 Enterprise Program and the ‘elimination of obsolete production capacity’ and small plant closure policy are conceptualized by local officials as upgrading and production capacity restructuring programs. This framing is also used to emphasize that the ESER policies will provide social benefits in the long-run, such as more local employment opportunities and improved workplace safety.

Within this framework, the general view of local officials is that the responsibility of the Economic Commission, and the Development and Reform Commission is to shift production capacity from small or under-performing enterprises to large, efficient enterprises which can produce the greatest GDP with the lowest relative energy consumption. This conceptionalization is officially based on the national policy of tying an enterprise's large project approval to its small production line closures. Yet at the local level, the policy is unofficially expanded from a shift of production capacity within a single enterprise to an inter-enterprise shift of production capacity from small enterprises, which are often closed, to large enterprises, which correspondingly expand production. Often, beneficiaries of this are state-owned or politically influential large private enterprises with access to provincial ‘elimination of obsolete capacity’ compensation and technology upgrade subsidies, given only to very large energy-saving projects, especially those which document savings over 10,000 tons of standard coal equivalent. Under ideal settings, local officials conceive that this shift could result in new local production greater than the eliminated capacity, higher local value-added due to greater local processing instead of exports to other provinces or municipalities for processing, and better government-enterprise relations resulting from greater tax collection. They also aim to cause little damage to the local economy and employment. The latter is possible even in the short-term as employees of closed enterprises are generally rural migrant workers and not counted in employment statistics.

This framing is perhaps crucial to providing justification for the policies which benefit influential enterprises and individuals, especially when it seems insufficient to a local official to simply explain that large enterprises are always more efficient. They are presented as being in line with provincial policies to consolidate production capacity and increase production at the most advanced enterprises to develop ‘local champions’, and to avoid providing assistance to
small enterprises that are hard to regulate. Several local government interviewees emphasized that although many small enterprises were or will be forced to stop production, most large enterprises had created increased production capacity by the end of 2008. For example, according to one county level official in charge of closures of small enterprises, the local government closed 30 small energy-intensive plants, but these closed plants each had only around one million to two million yuan total investment and around 20 to 30 employees. Although this would mean that 600-900 employees lost their jobs, the official stressed that the closure had little effect on the overall local economy. In another locality, one county official stated that 15 out of 37 coke plants had closed, yet large coke enterprises added more production capacity than was eliminated through plant closure policies. This official emphasized that although many plants had been closed, overall production capacity had increased, future profits would be greater and tax revenues would correspondingly increase, and that the locality had improved the overall 'quality' of its enterprise owners by pushing small enterprise managers out of heavy industry.

Conclusion

China’s energy savings and emissions reduction policies at the national level are ambitious, and they are even more bold at the provincial level in Shanxi. These efforts reflect China’s national reassessment of the ‘growth at any cost’ model and the turn towards a more sustainable, environmentally-friendly, and energy-secure growth path. In Shanxi, energy consumption reduction concerns have arguably been greater priorities than in other regions in China. However, the ultimate success or failure of ESER policies continues to depend on local implementation. Given entrenched interests and the many, and sometimes seemingly conflicting, demands placed upon officials, implementation of ESER policies is difficult and requires officials to find compromises with large enterprise players and to consolidate local support by forming ad-hoc coalitions.

Not all sub-national governments have been successful in completing ESER targets. In the months leading up to the end of the 11th Five Year Plan, the central government increased pressure on these local governments considerably to ensure completion of the national 20 percent energy intensity reduction target. As a last minute response, some sub-national governments undertook drastic measures to meet their energy intensity goals in ways harmful to the public interest. For example, in one county in Hebei province, the local government cut off electricity to hospitals, homes and rural villages, even to the extent that one hospital was forced to close once every four days. Such methods in Hebei and other regions forced NDRC to issue an emergency note in September 2010 which banned short-term electricity cuts and production limitation methods that affect residential areas and public services.

A number of factors determine a province’s ability to meet its energy intensity reduction targets, but, even under the best circumstances, local officials cannot rely only on the formal incentives and guidelines included in the national energy policies to meet targets. This research suggests that some regions such as Shanxi, for which energy consumption is especially prominent because of the high proportion of heavy industry, largely avoided a harsh, eleventh hour approach by preemptively bundling national policies with different local business and political interests. Bundling potential varies regionally given different local circumstances. In Shanxi, the many important large industrial enterprises and small competitors offer opportunities for governments to work cooperatively to protect local business interests while at the same time meet their energy intensity targets.
In Shanxi’s case, local officials bundled and built implementation capacity by strengthening formal and creating informal incentives to bring enterprise interests to improve energy efficiency on par with their own. This improved the implementation of some policies such as the Top-1000 Enterprise Program, and the ‘elimination of backward production capacity’ and plant closure policy. This bundling and the compensatory benefits involved depended upon the communication, explanation, and assurance of formal incentives and the use of informal means such as personal appeals, persuasion, and informal promises. In so doing, government officials brought the interests of local enterprises in line with the national directives to reduce energy use. To overcome the challenges of closing energy inefficient small industrial enterprises and production lines, local implementers took into consideration the economic and employment interests of their localities to soften the landing of enforcement. Officials bundled energy efficiency policy with policies of greater local importance to ensure smooth and ‘harmonious’ realization of energy goals, and took advantage of windows of opportunities such as the Olympics and the economic downturn to decrease the risk of protests and other forms of opposition to government intervention. Cadres’ linguistic reframing of the national energy policy goals and its implementation provided the rationale for steps taken seemingly against the local interest. Officials used this framing to convert national energy-saving policies from a conservation-oriented and restrained development framework into local growth models aimed at creating expanded production, improving enterprise competitiveness, ensuring stable employment, and addressing growing regulatory demands at the local level.
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